Lewis base properties of tris(trimethylstannyl)amine: unusually short M–N bonds of the adduct compounds $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ $(X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}; \text{M} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{In})$ and $[\text{Cl}_2(\text{CH}_3)\text{M} \cdot \text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)_3]$ $(M = Al, Ga)$

Q. m. Cheng, O. Stark, K. Merz, M. Winter and R. A. Fischer *

Lehrstuhl für Anorganische Chemie II – Organometallics & Materials Chemisty, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany. E-mail: roland.fischer@ruhr-uni-bochum.de

Received 8th April 2002, Accepted 2nd May 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 7th June 2002

The Lewis acid/base adducts $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1a: $M = Al$, $X = Cl$; 2a: $M = Ga$, $X = Cl$; 2b: $M = Ga$, $X = Br$; 3a: $M = In, X = Cl$; **3b**: $M = In, X = Br$) and $[Cl_2M \in M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (**1b**: $M = Al, 2c$: $M = Ga$) were prepared by the 1 : 1 mole reaction of MX_3 and MCl_2Me with $N(SnMe_3)$ at room temperature in diethyl ether and crystallised from dichloromethane at -20 °C. The new compounds were fully characterised by elemental analysis, NMR spectroscopy and single crystal X-ray diffraction. Unusually short M–N bond lengths of 1.87(1) Å for Al–N (**1a**), 1.950(7) Å for Ga–N (**2a**) and 2.148(6) Å for In–N (**3a**) were found indicating very strong donor–acceptor bonds.

Introduction

Trimethylstannyl substituted amine, amido and imido derivatives such as $(Me_3Sn)_{3-a}NR_a (a = 0, 1, 2; R = alkyl, aryl)$ and Me₃Sn–N=C=NR have been recognised as versatile nitrogen transfer reagents **¹** for the synthesis of metal amido, imido and nitrido compounds as well as for metal nitride clusters. Some examples may illustrate this: The treatment of [Cp*TaCl**4**] with $N(SnMe₃)$ ³ yields the cyclic nitrido derivative $[Cp*TaNC1]$ ² and more recently the synthesis of carbodiimidotitanium complexes $[Cp_2Ti(N=C=NR)_2]$ by the reaction of trimethylstannylcarbodiimides $Me₃Sn-N=C=NR$ with $Cp₂TiCl$, were described ³ as well as the synthesis of substituted aminogallanes, *e.g.* [Me**2**GaN(*i*-Pr)SnMe**3**)]**2** by alkyltrimethyltin elimination using $(Me_3Sn)_2N(i-Pr)^4$ In addition, some interesting amido copper compounds, *e.g.* $[\{CuN(SnMe₃)₂\}$ ₄], were obtained by Fenske and Reiss using $(Me_3Sn)_3N$ and CuX $(X = \text{halide})$.⁵ In such latter cases of employing $(Me_3Sn)_3N$ as the nitrogen transfer reagent, Lewis acid/base adducts of the general type $[L_n(X)M]$. $N(SnMe₃)₃$] (M = metal, X = halide ligand, L = other ancillary ligands) are quite likely intermediates. However, the chemistry of tris(trimethylstannyl)amine with particular respect to its Lewis base properties towards Lewis acidic metal centres has not been studied thoroughly. To our knowledge, only Dehnicke *et al.* has reported (some years ago in 1995) on the formation of the adducts $[Me₃M·N(SnMe₃)₃]$ by the reaction of $MMe₃$ with $N(SnMe₃)$ ³ as well as on the subsequent formation of dimeric compounds $[\{RCIInN(SnMe₃)₂\}$ ²] with an $In₂N₂$ skeleton from the reaction of R_2 ClIn and $N(SnMe_3)$ ³ at room temperature in ether solution ($M = Al$, Ga, In; $R = Me$, Et).⁶ However, structural data on the primary Lewis acid/base adducts have only been reported for the indium compound $[Me₃In·N(SnMe₃)₃].$ We were interested to use these kind of adducts as molecular precursors for the low temperature growth of metal nitride materials, especially Group 13 nitrides, as fine powders or colloids composed of nanoscale particles, and thus set out to study the thermal properties and the solid state pyrolysis of such adducts on which subject we report in some detail in a parallel publication.**⁷** Here we wish to give an account on the synthesis and analytical characterisation as well as on the structural properties of the Lewis acid/base adducts $[X_3M]$. $N(SnMe₃)₃$] (**1a**: $M = Al$, $X = Cl$; **2a**: $M = Ga$, $X = Cl$; **2b**: $M = Ga, X = Br$; **3a**: $M = In, X = Cl$; **3b**: $M = In, X = Br$) and $[Cl_2M$ eM·N(SnMe₃)₃] (1b: M = Al, 2c: M = Ga) with particular respect to the M–N donor–acceptor bonds.

Experimental

General considerations

All manipulations were undertaken using standard Schlenk and glove-box techniques under inert gas atmospheres (purified N**2** or Argon). Solvents (including solvent for NMR measurements) were dried under Ar by standard methods and stored over molecular sieves (4 Å, Merck; residual water < 3 ppm, Karl Fischer). The precursors were synthesised from $N(SnMe₃)$ ⁸, MX**3** (purchased from Aldrich, dried and purified by sublimation) and MX_2Me^9 as described below. ¹H-, ¹³C- and ¹¹⁹Sn-NMR spectra were recorded in CD₂Cl₂ solution on Bruker DPX 250, DPX 200 and DRX 400 spectrometers (**¹** H- and **¹³**C-NMR were referenced to internal solvent and corrected to TMS. The **¹¹⁹**Sn-NMR data were referenced to the external standard tetramethyltin). Elemental analyses were provided by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the Ruhr University of Bochum. C and no distinct and reversible FULL PAPER DALTON

Synthesis of $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ **(1a:** $M = Al$ **,** $X = Cl$ **; 2a:** $M = Ga, X = Cl$; 2b: $M = Ga, X = Br$; 3a: $M = In, X = Cl$; 3b: $M = In, X = Br$) and $[Cl_2MeM \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1b: $M = Al, 2c$: $M = Ga$

The compounds **1**–**5** were prepared according to the method outlined below using the synthesis of $\left[\text{Cl}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)\right]$ (2a) as a representative example: A sample of 0.246 g (1.4 mmol) GaCl₃ was dissolved in 50 mL of dry diethyl ether in a Schlenk flask (150 mL) and a solution of $N(SnMe₃)$ ₃ (0.708 g, 1.4 mmol) in 30 ml of diethyl ether was slowly added with vigorous stirring at 25 °C. An instantaneous formation of a white precipitate was observed. After overnight stirring, the volatile components were removed *in vacuo* to yield **2a** as a white powder, 0.887 g (yield: 93%). Compound 2a is soluble in toluene, CH_2Cl_2 and THF. X-Ray quality crystals were grown from a saturated solution of **2a** in CH_2Cl_2 at -30 °C. The compound starts to decompose above $50-60$ °C and no distinct and reversible

DOI: 10.1039/b203489c *J. Chem. Soc*., *Dalton Trans*., 2002, 2933–2936 **2933**

melting point could be observed. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**9**H**27**NSn**3**Cl**3**Ga: C 15.85 (15.69); H 3.96 (3.86); N 2.05 (2.19); Ga 10.23 (10.30). ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 0.74 (s, *J*_{H–Sn} = 55 Hz).
¹³C{¹H}-NMR: δ 2.2 (s). ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H}-NMR: δ 147. H}-NMR: δ 2.2 (s). **¹¹⁹**Sn{**¹** H}-NMR: δ 147.

 $\left[\text{Cl}_3\text{Al·N}(\text{SnMe}_3)\right]$ (1a). Yield: 80%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**9**H**27**NSn**3**Cl**3**Al: C 16.91 (16.79); H 4.23 (4.30); N 2.19 (2.08) ; Al 4.23 (4.50). ¹H-NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : δ 0.73 (s, J_{H-Sn} = 53.8 Hz). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 2.55 (s). ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H}-NMR $(CD_2Cl_2): \delta$ 136.

 $[Cl_2(CH_3)Al·N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1b). Yield: 85%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**10**H**30**AlCl**2**NSn**3**: C 19.41 (19.53); H 4.85 (4.92); N 2.26 (2.35); Al 4.37 (5.03). **¹** H-NMR (CD**2**Cl**2**): δ 0.66 [s, *J***H–Sn** = 58 Hz, $-N(SnMe_3)_3$, 0.38 (s, $-ALCl_2Me$). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR: δ 1.56 [s, –N(SnMe₃)₃], –1.05 (s, –AlCl₂Me). ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H}-NMR: δ 135.7.

[Br3GaN(SnMe3)3] (2b). Yield: 1.067 g, 87.3%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**9**H**27**NBr**3**Sn**3**Ga: C 13.25 (13.17); H 3.31 (3.55); N 1.72 (1.90); Ga 8.56 (8.97). **¹** H-NMR (CD**2**Cl**2**): δ 0.80 $(s, J_{H-Sn} = 54 \text{ Hz}).$ $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ -NMR: δ 3.1 (s). $^{119}Sn\{^{1}H\}$ -NMR: δ 147.

[Cl2MeGaN(SnMe3)3] (2c). Yield: 79%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**10**H**30**GaCl**2**NSn**3**: C 18.15 (18.47); H 4.54 (4.67); N 2.12 (1.99); Ga 10.44 (10.68). **¹** H-NMR (CD**2**Cl**2**): δ 0.67 [s, $J_{\text{H-Sn}} = 53 \text{ Hz}, -\text{N}(SnMe_3)_3$, 0.16 (s, $-GaMeCl_2$). ¹³C{¹H}-NMR: δ 1.9 [s, –N(SnMe**3**)**3**], 1.47 (s, –GaCl**2**Me). **¹¹⁹**Sn{**¹** H}- NMR: δ 131.

[Cl3InN(SnMe3)3] (3a). Yield: 88%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**9**H**27**NSn**3**Cl**3**In: C 14.86 (14.92); H 3.72 (3.86); N 1.93 (1.87) ; In 15.80 (16.45). ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 0.74 (s, $J_{\text{H-Sn}} =$ 54 Hz). **¹³**C{**¹** H}-NMR: δ 2.2 (s). **¹¹⁹**Sn{**¹** H}-NMR: δ 136.

[Br3InN(SnMe3)3] (3b). Yield: 86%. Anal. (%) Calc. (Found) for C**9**H**27**NBr**3**Sn**3**In: C 12.56 (12.64); H 3.14 (3.35); N 1.63 (1.40) ; In 13.35 (13.15). ¹H-NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ 0.79 (s, $J_{\text{H-Sn}} =$ 56 Hz). **¹³**C{**¹** H}-NMR: δ 1.16 (s). **¹¹⁹**Sn{**¹** H}-NMR: δ 139.1.

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of the new compounds

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were grown by slow cooling of saturated CH**2**Cl**2** solutions of the compounds to temperatures between 0 and -30 °C. The colourless crystals were selected under a microscope using a glove-box and transferred into Lindemann glass capillaries under dry Ar. Intensity data were collected at 203 K, (except for compound **2a** which was cooled to 183 K) with a Bruker AXS CCD 1000 diffractometer (Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, graphite monochromator, ω-scan). Empirical absorption correction was applied. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXL-97) **¹⁶** and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods based on F^2 with all observed reflections. The thermal reliability index wR_2 is defined as $[\Sigma w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w(F_0^2)^2]^{1/2}$. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealised locations using a standard riding model. The tin atoms of $\left[\text{Cl}_3\text{Ga}\cdot\text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)_{3}\right]$ (2a) exhibit a disorder. The two positions for each tin atom were finally refined using occupation factors of 0.95 and 0.05, respectively. The calculated residual electron densities for the structures of compounds **1a** and **2c** are somewhat high (around $4 e \mathring{A}^{-3}$) which may be due to a similar low fraction of disordered $N(SnMe₃)$ ³ groups which however could not be resolved. Crystallographic data and data collection parameters for the compounds are summarised in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. Drawings of the molecular structure in the solid state of the compounds $[Cl_3Al·N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1a), $\left[\text{Cl}_2(\text{CH}_3)\text{Ga}\cdot\text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)_{3}\right]$ (2c) and $\left[\text{Br}_3\text{In}\cdot\text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)_{3}\right]$ (3b) as the representative examples of the series are given in Figs. 1a–c.

Fig. 1 The molecular structures of representative examples of the series of new adducts $[RX_2M\cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1–3): (a) $[Cl_3Al\cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ $(1a)$, (b) $[Cl_3Ga \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ $(2a)$ and (c) $[Cl_2MeGa \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ $(2c)$ including the disordering of the tin atoms. (ORTEP**¹⁷** drawings at a 50% level of probability, hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.)

CCDC reference numbers 145599 (**1a**), 148508 (**1b**), 161554 (**2a**), 161555 (**2b**), 148509 (**2c**), 148507 (**3a**) and 148506 (**3b**).

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b2/b203489c/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.

Results and discussion

Synthesis, properties and analytical characterisation

The 1:1 adducts of MX_3 with $N(SnMe_3)$ ₃ $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)$ ₃] (**1**–**3**) (see Scheme 1) are instantaneously and quantitatively

$$
MX2R + N(SnMe3)3 \longrightarrow [X2RM-N(SnMe3)3]
$$

R = X, Me; X = Cl, Br
Scheme 1

formed at room temperature in diethyl ether solution. These adducts were isolated as air and moisture sensitive colourless crystalline solids by recrystallisation from saturated dichloromethane solutions at -20 °C. At room temperature and above

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for **1a**, **1b**, **2a**, **2b**, **2c**, **3a** and **3b**

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for $1a$, $1b$, $2a$, $2b$, $2c$, $3a$ and $3b$

the compounds are not stable, especially when kept in solution. Prolonged stirring (longer than 15 h) at room temperature in diethyl ether suspension during the preparation leads to decomposition, most likely into the dimeric compounds, *e.g.* $[X, GaN(SnMe₃)₂]$, due to the formation of Me₃SnCl, which was identified by the NMR data of the reaction solution. However, these dimeric products were not isolated in a pure form. At temperatures below -20 °C compounds 1–3 are more stable in solution and the isolated pure crystalline compounds can be stored without noticeable decomposition for a number of weeks when kept under argon with cooling $(-20 \degree C)$. According to thermogravimetric studies, the compounds start to decompose above 50–60 °C.⁷ Well defined reversible melting points or sharp decomposition points were not observed. Similar properties were reported for the related compounds $[Me_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ by Dehnicke *et al.***⁶**

The proposed formulations of **1**–**3** as Lewis acid/base adducts are consistent with the microanalytical data as well as with the NMR spectroscopic data. The **¹** H- and **¹³**C{**¹** H}-NMR data compare well with the known compound $[Me₃M⁺]$ N(SnMe**3**)**3**] and the respective values of the free base $N(SnMe₃)₃$ in $C₆D₆$ solution, but the ¹¹⁹Sn{¹H}-NMR signals are shifted down-field significantly to 131–136 ppm as compared with 86.3 ppm for the free tris(trimethylstannyl)amine.**¹⁰**

The molecular structures of 1–**3**

The molecular structures of all new compounds **1**–**3** in the solid state were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Crystallographic data and data collection parameters of this homologous series of compounds are summarised in Table 1 (for further details see the Experimental section). Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. The molecular structures of **1a**, **2a** and **2c** as representative examples of the homologous series are shown in Figs. 1a–c. The structures of the new adducts **1**–**3** are largely without unexpected features as far as the M–X, M–C as well as the Sn–C bonds and the respective bond angles M–N–Sn, Sn–N–Sn and X–M–X and X–M–C are concerned. The atoms M, N and Sn form a slightly distorted tetrahedral structure, in which both M and N centres are four coordinate. Some deviation from the ideal staggered conformation is most likely due to crystal packing in the solid state. In solution the molecules expectedly exhibit higher symmetry as seen from the simple NMR spectra (fast rotation around the M–N bond).

The interesting structural features are the dative M–N bond lengths and the variations of the N–Sn bond lengths in this homologous series. The M–N bond lengths of **1**–**3** are unusually short, while the N–Sn bond lengths appear rather long. For example the Al–N bond length of **1a** at 1.872(12) Å seems to be the shortest Al–N donor–acceptor bond length to date and the Ga–N and In–N values likewise (based on a CSD search). This is seen from the following selection of related adducts $[R_3A\cdot NR'_3]$ with a variation of the substituents at the Al centre: solid state $\left[\text{Cl}_3\text{Al}\cdot\text{NMe}_3\right]$ 1.96(1) \AA ,¹¹ gaseous monomeric [H₃Al·NMe₃] 2.063(8) Å,¹² gaseous monomeric [Me₃Al· NMe_3] 2.099(10) \AA ¹³ and solid state $[(Me_3Si)_3Al·NMe_3]$ 2.040(6) Å. **¹⁴** Similar comparison can be drawn for the gallium congeners of **1a**, $[X_3Ga \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (**2a**: $X = Cl$, **2b**: $X = Br$) with quite short donor–acceptor bonds of 1.950(7) and 1.9539(2) Å when compared with gaseous $\left[Cl_3Ga \cdot NH_3\right]$ of 2.057(11) Å and gaseous $[Me₃Ga\cdot NMe₃]$ of 2.20(3) Å as well as for the indium compound **3a** with 2.148(6) Å and **3b** with 2.158(8) Å for the In–N bond. The structures and the bonding of the parent adducts $[H_3M\cdot NH_3]$ as well as a number of other species $[H_m MNH_n]$ (*m*, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$) have recently been the subject of a theoretical analysis (Gaussian 98, B3LYP method) which provided reliable values for the dative M–N bond lengths for the molecules $[H_3M\cdot NH_3]$ in the gaseous state: Al–N, 2.093; Ga–N, 2.180; In–N 2.379 Å. **¹⁵** The short dative M–N bonds of

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (A) and bond angles $(°)$ for compounds $1-3$

Compound	1a	1 _b	2a	2 _b	2c	3a	3 _b
$M-N$	1.87(1)	1.919(8)	1.950(7)	1.954(1)	2.00(2)	2.148(6)	2.158(8)
$N-Sn(1)$	2.19(1)	2.154(6)	2.156(6)	2.173(2)	2.13(1)	2.166(2)	2.167(3)
$N-Sn(2)$	2.16(1)	2.156(6)	2.161(6)	2.173(2)	2.16(1)	2.166(2)	2.167(3)
$N-Sn(3)$	2.17(1)	2.166(7)	2.174(7)	2.173(2)	2.15(2)	2.166(2)	2.167(3)
$M-C$		2.014(9)			2.02(2)		
$M-N-Sn(1)$	109.5(5)	109.2(4)	108.7(3)	108.1(6)	108.1(6)	108.5(2)	108.6(2)
$M-N-Sn(2)$	110.7(5)	108.9(3)	109.0(3)	106.9(6)	106.9(6)	108.5(2)	108.6(2)
$M-N-Sn(3)$	112.2(5)	113.3(3)	108.8(3)	111.2(7)	111.2(7)	108.5(2)	108.6(2)
$Sn(1) - N - Sn(2)$	107.8(5)	109.5(3)	110.3(3)	111.1(6)	111.1(6)	110.5(2)	110.3(2)
$Sn(1) - N - Sn(3)$	108.7(5)	109.3(3)	110.3(3)	109.3(6)	109.3(6)	110.5(2)	110.3(2)
$Sn(2) - N - Sn(3)$	108.8(4)	108.6(3)	109.7(3)	110.1(6)	110.1(6)	110.5(2)	110.3(2)

1–**3** are thus clearly a consequence of *reduced* steric repulsion at both centres M and N *combined* with an optimum strength of the Lewis acid/base interaction by the halide substituents at the centre M (concentration of the acceptor LUMO) and the more polarised N–Sn bond of the base $N(SnMe₃)$ ₃ with a higher negative charge density at the N atom as compared with alkyl amines or ammonia.

The Al–N and Ga–N distances of the methyl derivatives **1b** of 1.919(8) Å and **2c** of 2.00(2) Å are expectedly slightly longer than in the trihalide adducts **1a** and **2a**–**b** because of the somewhat reduced Lewis-acidity of the Cl₂MeM moiety. For the indium adducts **3a** and **3b** [In–N: 2.148(6) and 2.158(8) Å, respectively] the same trend is observed when compared with the In–N bond length of $[\text{Me}_3 \text{In} \cdot \text{N}(\text{SnMe}_3)_3]$ at 2.39(3) Å.⁶ The different halide substituents (Cl *vs.* Br) have expectedly almost no influence on the M–N bonding.

Another interesting indication of the variation of the Lewis acid/base interaction in this series of compounds can be seen by very small but significant and systematic chances of the N–Sn bond lengths: the *shortest* M–N bond is reflected by the *longest* N–Sn bonds of 2.17(1) Å as the mean value for **1a**, and the mono methyl derivatives **1b** and **2c** exhibit somewhat *shorter* N–Sn bonds of 2.159(6) Å as the corresponding mean value. Consequently, the adduct $[Me₃In·N(SnMe₃)₃]$ reveals the *shortest* N–Sn bond of 2.102(8) Å in this series. The observed N–Sn bond lengths of the adducts **1**–**3** are expectedly *longer* than for free, not coordinatively bound stannylamines which exhibit N–Sn bond lengths in the range of 2.02 (± 0.03) Å; the parent molecule $N(SnMe₃)$ ₃ reveals a mean N–Sn distance of 2.04 Å in the solid state.**¹⁰**

Pyrolysis studies of 1–**3**

A study on the thermal properties and the solid state pyrolysis of **1**–**3** as molecular precursors for Group III nitride materials has been performed and is reported in detail elsewhere.**⁷** It was shown that the precursors of the type $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ (1a, **2a–b**; **3a–b**) decompose between 50 and 350 °C by partly releasing Me**3**SnCl. Polycrystalline powders of AlN, GaN and InN were obtained after prolonged pyrolysis under inert conditions (argon, *in vacuo*) above 350 °C but were contaminated with metallic tin according to the X-ray powder diffraction patterns. However, pyrolysis of the *organometallic* precursors [Cl₂MeM· N(SnMe**3**)**3**] (**1b**, **2c**) yielded tin-free nitride materials at temperatures below 350 °C due to the parallel elimination of SnMe**4** and Me**3**SnCl, as evidenced by X-ray powder diffraction, elemental analysis, NMR and IR spectroscopy of the products.**⁷**

Conclusion

The Lewis acid/base adducts $[X_3M \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ and $[Cl_2MeM \cdot N(SnMe_3)_3]$ $N(SnMe₃)₃$] were prepared by the 1 : 1 mole reaction of $MX₃$ and MCl**2**(CH**3**) with N(SnMe**3**)**3**. Unusually short M–N bond lengths of 1.87(1) Å for Al–N (**1a**), 1.950(7) Å for Ga–N (**2a**) and 2.148(6) Å for In–N (**3a**) were found indicating very strong donor–acceptor bonds. It should be noted here, that compound **2c** in particular may be suitable as a precursor for GaN materials by pyrolysis at low temperatures.**⁷**

Acknowledgements

Dr Qing min Cheng is grateful for a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation in Germany. This work was also supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), grant no: Fi 502/9–2.

References

- 1 R. Réau and C. Bertrand, *Rev. Heteroat. Chem.*, 1996, **41b**, 1367.
- 2 H. Plenio, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and G. M Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem.*, 1988, **100**, 1377; H. Plenio, H. W. Roesky, M. Noltemeyer and G. M Sheldrick, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, **27**, 1330.
- 3 G. Veneziani, S. Shimada and M. Tanaka, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 2926.
- 4 W. R. Nutt, K. J Murray, J. M. Gulick, J. D. Odom, Y. Ding and L. Lebioda, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1728.
- 5 P. Reiss and D. Fenske, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2000, **626**, 1317.
- 6 R. Hillwig, K. Harms and K. Dehnicke, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **501**, 327.
- 7 Q. m. Cheng, O. Stark, F. Stowasser, A. Wohlfart and R. A. Fischer, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2002, **12**, DOI: 10.1039/b203444c.
- 8 K. Sisido and S. Kozima, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1964, **29**, 907.
- 9 H. Schmidbaur and W. Findeis, *Chem. Ber.*, 1966, **9**, 2187.
- 10 A. Appel, C. Kober, C. Neumann, H. Nöth, M. Schmidt and W. Storch, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 175.
- 11 D. F. Grant and C. G. Killean, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1969, **25**, 377.
- 12 A. Almenningen, G. Gundersen, T. Haugen and A. Haaland, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, 1972, **26**, 3928.
- 13 G. A. Andersen, A. Almenningen, F. R. Forgaard and A. Haaland, *Chem. Commun.*, 1971, 480.
- 14 J. F. Janik, E. N. Duesler and R. T. Paine, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, **539**, 19.
- 15 H.-J. Himmel, A. J. Downs, J. C. Green and T. M. Greene, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 535.
- 16 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for crystal structure analysis, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 17 M. N. Burnett and C. K. Johnson, ORTEP3, Report ORNL-6895, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1996.